2nd International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and 4th Generation District Heating Aalborg, 27-28 September 2016

Thermal energy storage in district heating systems:

A case study of Gothenburg, Sweden



Dmytro Romanchenko Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden



Motivation for the research

Variability in heat demand

- ✓ Part-load operation
- ✓ High number of start-ups and stops
- ✓ Requires peaking generation (usually fossil based)

Interplay with power sector

 ✓ Variable operation of CHP plants and heat pumps





https://energyclub.stanford.edu/deploying-battery-storage-in-commercial-buildings-opportunities-and-challenges-kavousian/

Examples of hot water tanks



Hot water tank. Power Plant Ljubljana, Slovenia. http://khia.belzona.com/EN/view.aspx?id=2466



Hot water tank. District heating system of Borås, Sweden.

http://publications.lib.chalmers.se/records/fulltext/186016/186016.pdf



Heat storage in buildings



Göteborg, Sweden.

http://helikopterfoto.nu/flygfoto-over-goteborg-soluppgang/



Aim and scope

Aim:

To compare operation of a district heating (DH) system when either a hot water tank or a thermal capacity of building stock is used as a thermal energy storage

Scope:

- ✓ Find optimal heat generation strategy in the DH system of Gothenburg using a unit commitment computer model
- ✓ Evaluate operation of the studied DH system when:
 - ✓ no energy storage is available
 - ✓ hot water tank (HWT) is used as storage technology
 - ✓ Storage-in-buildings (SIB) is used for storing energy



Main findings

- Both storage types provide good service in moderation of daily heat demand fluctuations
- Both storage types lead to lower number of start-ups and increased
 full-load hours of the heat generation units
- ✓ Decreased total system running cost
- Yet, SIB shows higher response sensitivity to short-term heat demand fluctuations (compared to HWT)



Methodology

(unit commitment model)



Certificates

-

-

- Hourly heat demand -
- Hourly electricity prices -

- Unit operational hours -- Number of start-ups and
- ...

stops

Energy storage modelling

Hot water tank



- Maximum capacity (1000 MWh)
- Charge/discharge ramp limits (130 MW)
- Charge/discharge efficiencies (90 %)
- Losses based on energy content
- Static losses (unusable heat)

Storage-in-buildings



- Storage capacity in buildings is divided in:
 - shallow storage (SS)
 - deep storage (DS)
- Maximum capacity:
 - SS≈300 MWh
 - DS≈1800 MWh
- Charge/discharge ramp limits of SS depend on outdoor temperature (max 63 MW)
- Energy exchange between SS and DS depends on instant energy level in both SS and DS



Hourly heat generation



2nd International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and 4th Generation District Heating, Aalborg, 27-28 September 2016

4th Generation District Heating

Technologies and Systems

Relative daily variation



Relative daily variation of heat generation calculated for each day during the year and placed in descending order.



Number of start-ups





Economics





Conclusions

- ✓ Both storage types provide benefits to the DH system by moderating daily heat demand fluctuations
- Storage-in-buildings is more responsive to short-term heat demand fluctuations
- Usage of the thermal storage results in decreased number of start-ups and increased number of full-load hours of the heat generation units
- ✓ Decrease in total system running cost



Future research

- ✓ Run case studies with different energy storage capacities
- Improve representation of storage-in-buildings by adding energy loss factor
- Evaluate DH system's operation with and without storage options applying future electricity price profiles

