





A technology agnostic system platform for real options based management of integrated energy systems Jonas Hinker, M.Sc.





Motivation: the system we are talkig about...





- Can the customer base for heat be maintained?
- Is it favourable to produce electrical energy?
- How competitive is district heating in comparison to wall-hung boilers?
- Will regulation require decarbonization? (by certification/measurement?)

Growing complexity of investment problem
Growing uncertainty!





Multi-energy

power vector

flexibility

 $Q_{th} + P_{el}$







Motivation: growing uncertainty about optimal supply systems

- Which technology should be used for feeding district heating systems?
 - Separate generation of electricity and heat?
 - Combined heat and power?
 - Even fuel cells?
 - Waste?
 - Heat pumps? (geothermal? or supply/return?)
 - Gas boilers?
 - Thermal storage?
 - Electric storage (batteries)?
- Why that in all technologies?
- What size/dimension?
- For which use case?







Management of big generators **Options limited** One size Must fit for 50 years Dismantling (depreciation) of big plant ➔ inflexible design Additional generator block





The platform®

- Objective:
 - make heat and power generation manageable
 - decrease marginal investment
- Six simple elements:







Modularity allows management over decades!



- > No regrets: start with a cheap investment, transform into 100% renewables
- Integrate prototypes, gather know-how for their operation (e.g., fuel cells)
- Easier revision management
- → Revision can be trigger for change of portfolio, but also legislative actions





So what are my real options? Are they valuable?



- Real options unlimited
- Enables the decarbonization of heat
- Relieves electrical grid (wind peaks)
- Can generate
 - Heat + power
 - Power
 - Heat

- Heat from power
- Valuable for sustainability





A sample story for the development of a portfolio (1/2)







A sample story for the development of a portfolio (2/2)







Conclusions and main take-aways

- Future-proof heat and power solution wanted
- High level of uncertainty for optimal plant design
- Modularity and standardization of individual units suggested
- **Multiple modules** replace conventional turbine (CHP) solution
- Identical heat and power output can be achived (see other paper)
- **Real-options** are made available: management has control
- Future-proof by being completely technology-agnostic: all-electric, all-conventional, all-waste, all-geothermal, all-<...>
- Likely best case: a mix thereof

Spread the word!

Jonas Hinker | 4DH conference, Aalborg, 13.11.2018





Selected references

 J. Hinker et al.: "Adaptable Energy Systems Integration by Modular, Standardized and Scalable System Architectures: Necessities and Prospects of Any Time Transition", Energies, Volume 11(3), p.581, 2018, https://doi.org/10.3390/en11030581



 J. Hinker, H. Knappe, J. Myrzik: "Precise Assessment of Technically Feasible Power Vector Interactions for Arbitrary Controllable Multi-Energy Systems", IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid, t.b.a, accepted, early access, https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2018.2858855







Thank you for your attention!

Questions/remarks/criticism? → jonas.hinker@tu-dortmund.de

Connect on ResearchGate!



